

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
(Case No. 05-370)

In re: U.S. Patent No. 7,432,220)
Issue Date: October 7, 2008) Examiner: James E. McDonough
Inventors: Garoff, *et al.*) Group Art Unit: 1793
Serial No. 10/536,523) Confirmation No. 9469
For: Method for the Preparation of Olefin Polymerization)
Catalyst Support and an Olefin Polymerization)
Catalyst)

Attention: Certificate of Corrections Branch
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

REQUEST FOR CERTIFICATE OF CORRECTION
PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 1.322 and §1.323

Dear Sir:

The assignee of record of the above-captioned patent respectfully requests correction of the patent as indicated on the enclosed Form PTO/SB/44. In particular, correction of the following mistakes, which are of a clerical nature, a typographical nature or of minor character, is requested:

Column 13, Line 58, Claim 1: in formula (1), please delete "Mg(OR₂)_{2-n}(R₁)_nX_x" and add -- Mg(OR₂)_{2-n}(R₁)_nX_x --.

Column 15, Line 9, Claim 17: please delete "1-0.5 one mol" and add -- 1-0.5 mol to one mol --.

Column 16, Line 27, Claim 38: please delete "0.6 Al:T4g 0.99" and add -- 0.6 ≤ Al:Mg ≤ 0.99 --.

Column 16, Line 29, Claim 39: please delete "R₂OH" and add -- R₂OH --.

Column 16, Line 29, Claim 39: please delete "R₂" and add -- R₂ --.

The mistakes being corrected herein are clearly disclosed in the records of the Office and are printing mistakes made by the Patent Office.

In addition, correction of the following mistakes is requested:

Column 5, line 29: in formula (I), please delete "Mg(OR₂)_{2-n}(R₁)_nX_x" and add -- Mg(OR₂)_{2-n-x}(R₁)_nX_x--.

Column 5, line 41: please delete "the sum of (2-n), n, and x is 2" and add -- the sum of (2-n-x), n, and x is 2 --.

Column 7, line 32: in formula 1, please delete "Mg(OR₂)_{2-n}(R₁)_nX_x" and add -- Mg(OR₂)_{2-n-x}(R₁)_nX_x--.

Column 13, Line 62, Claim 1: please delete "the sum of (2-n), n, and x is 2" and add -- the sum of (2-n-x), n, and x is 2 --.

Column 14, Line 16, Claim 4: please delete "Mg(OR₂)_{2-n}(R₁)_n" and add -- Mg(OR₂)_{2-n-x}(R₁)_n--.

The Assignee respectfully submits that the requested corrections do not constitute new matter, nor do they require substantive examination of the file. The above formula (I) has been amended during the examination proceedings such that the subscript " 2-n" has been amended to "2-n-x". (See Column 13, Line 58, Claim 1, formula (I); Response to Office Action Mailed June 16, 2006 ("Mg(OR₂)_{2-n}(R₁)_nX_x" has been amended to 'Mg(OR₂)_{2-n-x}(R₁)_nX_x', to correct an obvious error in the formula. Without the amendment, 'X' could not be present in the formula, whereas there are several examples in the application where 'X' can be present"). Thus, the subscript "2-n" in formula (I) has been an obvious error. The same obvious error is also present in the proviso that "the sum of (2-n), n, and x is 2". The errors are due to mistakes of the applicant, and correctable under 37 C.F.R. § 1.323 and 35 U.S.C. § 255.

A PTO-SB-44 form for the certificate of correction is attached. Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.20(a) and 1.323, the Assignee authorizes the Commissioner to charge Deposit Account No. 13-2490 to cover the Certificate of Correction for Applicant's mistake.

Respectfully submitted,

McDonnell Boehnen Hulbert & Berghoff LLP

Date: January 9, 2009

By: Bradley W. Crawford
Bradley W. Crawford
Reg. No. 50,494